

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FI		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/660,996 09/12		99/12/2003 David J. Ecker		DIBIS-0002US.P4	7769	
58057	7590	09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
		ROLL LLP	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN			
101 HOWA SUITE 350		CC I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAI	NCISCO,	CA 94105	1637			
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
			0,996	ECKER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit					
		Jeffrey	/ Fredman	1637					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply very reply received by the Office later than three months af- and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION TO event, however, may a reply be to the description of the company of the c	DN. imely filed the mailing date of this of t					
Status					•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>29 March 20</i>	006.		•				
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 March 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
- ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disnositi	on of Claims	•							
•	•	loro pondina in th	o application						
•	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-10, 14, 17, 28-52 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1 2 4 10 14 17 28-52 is/are rejected.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-10, 14, 17, 28-52</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	on requirement						
			311 TOQUII						
Applicati	on Papers								
• —	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
	Applicant may not request that any object								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			•					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attached Oπic	e Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority doc	uments have been recei	ved in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the o	certified copies not receive	ved.					
					·				
Attachmen				(070 110					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Information Other:		(O-152)				

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 24, 2006 has been entered.

Status

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 14, 17, 28-52 are pending.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 14, 17; 28-52 are rejected.

Any rejection which is not reiterated in this action is hereby withdrawn as no longer applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 14, 17, 28-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1637

As MPEP 2163.06 notes "If new matter is added to the claims, the examiner should reject the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph - written description requirement. In re Rasmussen , 650 F.2d 1212, 211 USPQ 323 (CCPA 1981)."

Here, claim 1 incorporates a range of 8 in the comparison of calculated bioagents and this number and new range is apparently new matter. A careful review by the examiner of the cited pages of the specification failed to identify any support for this new limitation. The citation to Figure 14 does not support a comparsion to 8, since the listed eight elements are not comparable and the figure shows much more than 8 elements. The eight elements listed include species such as hepatitis A virus and includes genera such as Rhinovirus, which includes dozens if not hundreds of members. So Rhinovirus is not a single "bioagent" as per claim 1. As noted by MPEP 2163.05,

"With respect to changing numerical range limitations, the analysis must take into account which ranges one skilled in the art would consider inherently supported by the discussion in the original disclosure."

In this case, no basis for the range of 8 or more <u>bioagents</u> has been identified in the original disclosure. The disclosure at figure 14 shows at least 25-30 different different results, not 8. This conclusion is consistent with the decision of the Federal Circuit in <u>Eiselstein v. Frank</u> 34 USPQ2d 1467, 1471 (Fed. Cir. 1995), where the Court noted "Moreover, the 10% range of 45-55%, even if it is an approximate "about" 45-55%, is not the same as a very different 10% range, viz. , 50-60%. The limits of these ranges vary from each other by about 10%, which is comparable to the extent of the variation within each range. Eiselstein has therefore not persuaded us that the Board clearly erred in finding that the grandparent application did not provide an adequate

Application/Control Number: 10/660,996

Art Unit: 1637

written description of the invention comprising 50-60% nickel." Thus, the Federal Circuit did not permit a range shift where the prior range was disclosed in a way inherently shown in the specification. The change in the current case is greater since there is no support for the specific range chosen whatsoever. Since no basis has been found to support the new claim limitation in the specification, the claim is rejected as incorporating new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muddiman et al (1997) 69:1543-1549) as evidenced by Muddiman et al (Anal. Chem. (1996) 68:3705-3712) is withdrawn in view of the amendment, which requires a comparison to 8 bioagents.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The rejections of claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are withdrawn in view of all of the limitations added in the amendment and the declaration under 1.132 demonstrating the demonstrated skepticism in the art of experts, specifically the JASONs, which secondary consideration overcomes the obviousness rejection.

Double Patenting

6. The rejection of claims 1-10, 14, 17, 28-44 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,996 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is (571)272-0742. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571)272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637